Frontline Social Sciences and History Journal
ISSN: 2752-7018

Climate Change and Historical Civilizations: Lessons from Environmental Collapse in Ancient Societies

Dr. Leena Virtanen

Department of History and Digital Culture University of Helsinki Helsinki, Finland

ARTICLE INfO

Articlehistory:
Submission Date:18May2026
Accepted Date:25May2026
Published Date:03June2026
VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue06
Page No. 19-27

ABSTRACT

Climate change has emerged as one of the most significant challenges confronting contemporary societies. Although modern climate discourse often focuses on industrialization, greenhouse gas emissions, and technological adaptation, the relationship between climatic variability and societal stability has deep historical roots. Throughout human history, environmental changes have shaped the rise, transformation, and decline of civilizations. Ancient societies depended heavily on ecological systems for agriculture, water management, trade, and political organization, making them particularly vulnerable to climatic disturbances. This paper investigates the interactions between climate change and historical civilizations, emphasizing lessons derived from environmental collapse in ancient societies. Through a comprehensive review-based analysis of climate science literature and environmental vulnerability frameworks, the study examines how prolonged droughts, temperature fluctuations, water scarcity, ecosystem degradation, and resource mismanagement contributed to social instability and institutional decline.

The paper synthesizes contemporary climate-change scholarship with historical interpretations of environmental collapse to establish an interdisciplinary framework connecting ecological stress and societal resilience. Drawing upon climate-related studies addressing temperature trends, water-resource challenges, agricultural sustainability, biodiversity impacts, and adaptation mechanisms, the analysis identifies recurring patterns across historical civilizations. Environmental pressures rarely acted as isolated causes of collapse; rather, they interacted with political, economic, demographic, and technological factors to amplify vulnerabilities. The findings demonstrate that resilience depended on adaptive governance, sustainable resource management, diversification of economic systems, and institutional flexibility.

The study further argues that modern societies face analogous risks despite technological advancements. Increasing temperatures, declining water availability, agricultural stress, biodiversity loss, and food-security concerns reveal parallels with historical experiences. Lessons from ancient environmental collapses provide valuable insights into contemporary climate adaptation strategies. The research contributes to

climate-change scholarship by integrating historical perspectives into modern sustainability discussions and highlighting the importance of long-term environmental governance. The paper concludes that understanding environmental collapse in historical civilizations offers critical guidance for strengthening resilience and reducing vulnerability in the Anthropocene era.

Keywords: Climate Change, Historical Civilizations, Environmental Collapse, Water Resources, Sustainability, Societal Resilience, Agricultural Systems, Environmental Governance, Climate Adaptation, Resource Management

INTRODUCTION

Climate change represents one of the defining challenges of the twenty-first century. Rising global temperatures, increasing climate variability, extreme weather events, declining biodiversity, and growing pressures on food and water systems are reshaping human-environment relationships across the world. Contemporary climate science has established that anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions are contributing significantly to global warming and environmental transformations (Mikhaylov et al., 2020; Zhongming et al., 2021). While climate change is often perceived as a modern phenomenon, historical evidence demonstrates that climatic fluctuations have influenced human societies throughout recorded history.

Civilizations have always existed within environmental constraints. Agricultural productivity, water availability, ecosystem stability, and resource accessibility have historically determined the capacity of societies to sustain populations, maintain economic systems, and preserve political institutions. Ancient civilizations developed sophisticated methods of environmental management, including irrigation networks, agricultural innovations, trade systems, and urban planning. However, despite these achievements, many societies experienced periods of severe disruption associated with environmental degradation and climatic instability.

The study of environmental collapse has gained increasing attention among historians, archaeologists, geographers, and climate scientists because it provides valuable insights into the relationship between ecological systems and societal resilience. Historical examples suggest that environmental pressures can undermine food production, disrupt economic activities, intensify social inequalities, trigger migration, and weaken political institutions. Climatic stress does not necessarily cause collapse independently; instead, it often interacts with existing vulnerabilities to create cascading effects throughout society.

Modern climate challenges reveal striking parallels with historical experiences. Research on climate impacts indicates that increasing temperatures are altering agricultural productivity, affecting water resources, and threatening ecosystem stability across many regions (Almazroui, 2020; Chowdhury & Al-Zahrani, 2013). Water scarcity has emerged as a particularly critical concern because it influences agriculture, economic development, public health, and social stability. Studies examining climate impacts on agriculture emphasize growing risks to food security resulting from temperature increases, changing precipitation patterns, and evapotranspiration dynamics (Allbed et al., 2017; Haque & Khan, 2020).

Environmental vulnerability extends beyond agricultural systems. Biodiversity loss, ecosystem degradation, and changing habitat conditions affect species survival and ecological resilience (Williams et al., 2012). Infrastructure systems and transportation networks are also increasingly exposed to climate-related risks (Islam et al., 2019). These challenges underscore the interconnected nature of environmental systems and human societies.

Historical civilizations provide a unique laboratory for examining long-term interactions between climate and society. Unlike modern societies, ancient civilizations lacked advanced technological adaptation mechanisms. Consequently, their responses to environmental stress reveal fundamental principles governing resilience and vulnerability. By analyzing historical patterns of environmental collapse, contemporary policymakers can better understand the mechanisms through which climate pressures influence societal outcomes.

The significance of this topic extends beyond historical curiosity. Contemporary climate adaptation strategies require understanding not only physical environmental processes but also social, institutional, and economic responses to environmental change. Historical experiences illustrate that environmental challenges become particularly dangerous when combined with governance failures, resource mismanagement, social inequalities, and institutional rigidity. Conversely, societies demonstrating flexibility, innovation, and adaptive governance often exhibit greater resilience.

This paper investigates the relationship between climate

change and historical civilizations through a comprehensive review-based approach. The study seeks to identify common environmental stressors associated with societal decline, examine mechanisms linking climate variability and institutional stability, and derive lessons applicable to modern climate adaptation efforts. By integrating insights from climate science, environmental studies, agricultural research, and sustainability literature, the paper develops an interdisciplinary perspective on environmental collapse and societal resilience.

The primary objectives of this research are fourfold. First, the study examines theoretical relationships between climate change and societal development. Second, it evaluates historical patterns of environmental stress and collapse. Third, it identifies mechanisms through which environmental pressures influence social and political systems. Fourth, it derives lessons relevant to contemporary climate adaptation and sustainability planning.

The scope of the paper encompasses environmental, economic, social, and institutional dimensions of climate-related vulnerability. Rather than focusing exclusively on physical climate processes, the analysis emphasizes interactions between environmental change and human decision-making. Such an approach reflects growing recognition that climate impacts are mediated through governance systems, resource-management practices, and societal capacities for adaptation.

Ultimately, the study argues that environmental collapse in ancient societies offers important lessons for contemporary climate governance. While modern societies possess unprecedented technological capabilities, they remain dependent upon ecological systems and vulnerable to environmental disruptions. Understanding historical experiences can therefore contribute to more effective strategies for building resilience in an era of accelerating climate change.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1 Climate Change as a Long-Term Environmental Challenge

Climate change literature consistently identifies rising temperatures, changing precipitation patterns, and increasing climate variability as major drivers of environmental transformation. Mikhaylov et al. (2020) emphasize that greenhouse gas accumulation has intensified global warming processes, while Zhongming et al. (2021) provide comprehensive scientific evidence linking anthropogenic activities to observed climate changes. These studies establish the broader scientific foundation for understanding environmental risks facing both historical and contemporary societies.

Regional climate investigations reveal significant warming trends. Almazroui (2020) documented substantial temperature increases and climatic extremes, highlighting the growing frequency of heat-related environmental stress. Earlier research similarly identified detectable climate-change signals through long-term temperature observations (Almazroui et al., 2013). El-Nesr et al. (2010) further demonstrated regional temperature variability and changing climatic distributions, emphasizing the dynamic nature of environmental systems.

The relevance of these studies extends beyond modern contexts because they illustrate fundamental mechanisms through which climatic changes affect ecological and social systems. Historical civilizations experienced comparable environmental pressures, although the specific causes and temporal scales differed. Consequently, contemporary climate science provides valuable conceptual frameworks for interpreting historical environmental collapses.

2. Climate Change, Water Resources, and Societal Stability

Water-resource availability occupies a central position in climate-change research because water constitutes a foundational requirement for agriculture, public health, economic productivity, and ecosystem sustainability. Chowdhury and Al-Zahrani (2013) identified significant implications of climate variability for water resources, emphasizing increasing scarcity risks under changing climatic conditions. Similar concerns were highlighted by Tarawneh and Chowdhury (2018), who linked climate trends to long-term water-resource challenges.

Research examining evapotranspiration dynamics demonstrates additional complexity in water-management systems. Elnesr and Alazba (2013) found that climatic changes influence both temporal and spatial variability in evapotranspiration, affecting water-resource planning and sustainability. These findings suggest that environmental stress can emerge not only through reduced precipitation but also through increased water demand resulting from rising temperatures.

Historical civilizations depended heavily upon stable water systems. Irrigation-based societies, river-valley civilizations, and agricultural communities often developed elaborate water-management infrastructures. Consequently, disruptions in water availability could undermine agricultural productivity, weaken economic systems, and contribute to social instability. The literature therefore supports the proposition that water-resource vulnerability represents a critical pathway connecting climate change and societal resilience.

3. Agricultural Vulnerability and Food Security

Agriculture serves as another major focus of climate-change scholarship. Allbed et al. (2017) demonstrated that

climatic changes significantly influence agricultural productivity, affecting crop viability and cultivation patterns. Similarly, Al-Wabel et al. (2020) documented agricultural impacts associated with climatic variability, highlighting risks to production systems and rural livelihoods.

Food security concerns are increasingly emphasized in climate adaptation research. Haque and Khan (2020) argue that climate change presents substantial challenges for agricultural sustainability and long-term food security. Rising temperatures, changing precipitation patterns, and water scarcity collectively threaten food-production systems, particularly in environmentally sensitive regions.

The historical relevance of agricultural vulnerability is considerable. Ancient civilizations were fundamentally dependent upon agricultural surpluses to support urbanization, trade networks, political institutions, and population growth. Environmental disruptions affecting food production could therefore generate cascading effects throughout society. Historical evidence frequently associates droughts, crop failures, and resource shortages with periods of social stress and political instability.

4. Environmental Change and Ecosystem Resilience

Environmental resilience depends not only on human institutions but also on ecosystem stability. Williams et al. (2012) explored climate-change impacts on animal populations, demonstrating how environmental transformations affect biodiversity and ecological functioning. Ecosystem degradation can reduce resilience by diminishing ecological services that support agricultural production, water regulation, and habitat sustainability.

Hereher (2016) examined climatic proxies indicating environmental changes, reinforcing concerns regarding ecological vulnerability. Such studies highlight the interconnected nature of climate systems and biological processes. Environmental stress affecting one component of an ecosystem can generate broader consequences across ecological networks.

For historical civilizations, ecosystem degradation may have amplified climate-related vulnerabilities. Deforestation, soil depletion, habitat disruption, and biodiversity loss could reduce environmental resilience, making societies more susceptible to climatic shocks. The literature therefore supports a systems-based perspective in which environmental collapse emerges through interactions among climatic, ecological, and social factors.

5. Research Gap and Theoretical Positioning

Although substantial literature exists concerning climate impacts on agriculture, water resources, ecosystems, and sustainability, fewer studies explicitly integrate these insights with historical analyses of societal collapse. Existing research often examines environmental processes independently rather than exploring their cumulative effects on long-term societal resilience.

This paper addresses that gap by synthesizing climate-change scholarship with historical interpretations of environmental collapse. The theoretical position adopted here conceptualizes societal collapse as a multidimensional process arising from interactions between environmental stressors and institutional vulnerabilities. Climate change is therefore viewed not as a deterministic cause of collapse but as a risk multiplier that amplifies existing social, economic, and political weaknesses.

The resulting framework enables a deeper understanding of both historical and contemporary environmental challenges. By examining environmental collapse through an interdisciplinary lens, the study contributes to broader discussions regarding resilience, sustainability, and climate adaptation.

METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative review-paper methodology grounded in interdisciplinary synthesis. The objective is not to reconstruct specific archaeological events but to develop a theoretical and analytical framework explaining how climatic changes contributed to environmental stress and societal decline across historical civilizations. The methodology integrates contemporary climate-change scholarship with historical interpretations of environmental collapse to identify recurring mechanisms, vulnerabilities, and resilience factors.

A review-based approach is particularly appropriate because the research question concerns long-term relationships between environmental systems and societal outcomes. Historical civilizations existed in diverse geographical, political, and ecological contexts; therefore, identifying common patterns requires conceptual integration rather than narrow empirical measurement. The methodology consequently emphasizes comparative interpretation, theoretical synthesis, and systems analysis.

The study employs environmental determinism cautiously. While environmental conditions influence societal development, civilizations do not collapse solely because of climatic changes. Human decisions, governance structures, technological capabilities, economic organization, and social adaptation mechanisms mediate environmental impacts. The methodological framework therefore treats climate change as a contributing variable interacting with institutional and societal factors.

2. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework is based on four interconnected dimensions:

1. Climatic Stressors
2. Environmental Consequences
3. Societal Vulnerabilities
4. Adaptive Capacity

Climatic stressors include prolonged droughts, temperature increases, precipitation variability, and ecological disturbances. Environmental consequences involve water scarcity, declining agricultural productivity, biodiversity loss, soil degradation, and resource depletion.

Societal vulnerabilities emerge when environmental pressures intersect with demographic growth, economic dependence on agriculture, political instability, social inequality, and institutional rigidity. Adaptive capacity refers to a civilization's ability to respond effectively through technological innovation, governance reforms, resource management, and social cooperation.

Within this framework, environmental collapse is conceptualized as a progressive process rather than a sudden event. Climate-induced pressures gradually weaken societal resilience, increasing susceptibility to economic crises, political fragmentation, migration, and conflict.

3. Analytical Dimensions

Environmental Dimension

The environmental dimension focuses on climate-related changes affecting ecological systems. Studies examining temperature trends, climate variability, and environmental transformations demonstrate how long-term climatic shifts alter natural resource availability (Almazroui, 2020; El-Nesr et al., 2010). Historical civilizations frequently depended upon predictable environmental cycles. When these cycles were disrupted, environmental stress accumulated across multiple sectors.

Environmental degradation often manifested through declining soil fertility, ecosystem instability, reduced water availability, and biodiversity loss. Such changes affected the productive capacity of landscapes, reducing their ability to support growing populations.

Agricultural Dimension

Agricultural systems represent a critical link between environmental conditions and societal stability. Research indicates that climate change significantly influences crop productivity, cultivation patterns, and food security (Allbed et al., 2017; Haque & Khan, 2020).

Ancient societies were particularly vulnerable because agricultural production constituted the foundation of economic and political organization. Crop failures could reduce food availability, increase prices, generate social unrest, and undermine governmental legitimacy. Consequently, agricultural vulnerability serves as an important mechanism connecting climate stress and societal instability.

Water-Resource Dimension

Water-resource availability has historically influenced settlement patterns, economic development, and political power. Climate-related changes affecting precipitation, evaporation, and hydrological cycles directly impact societal sustainability (Chowdhury & Al-Zahrani, 2013; Tarawneh & Chowdhury, 2018).

Historical evidence demonstrates that many civilizations flourished in river valleys and irrigated regions. Their dependence on water infrastructure created both opportunities and vulnerabilities. Climatic disruptions affecting water availability could trigger agricultural decline, migration, and institutional stress.

Governance Dimension

Governance structures play a crucial role in determining whether environmental challenges result in adaptation or collapse. Effective institutions can mobilize resources, coordinate responses, and implement sustainable management strategies. Conversely, weak governance can amplify environmental pressures.

This dimension recognizes that climate change rarely acts independently. Environmental stress becomes particularly dangerous when governments fail to adapt policies, distribute resources equitably, or maintain social cohesion.

4. Historical Civilization Analysis Framework

To derive lessons from environmental collapse, historical civilizations are analyzed through five analytical stages:

Stage 1: Environmental Stability

Societies experience favorable climatic conditions supporting agricultural growth, population expansion, and economic development.

Stage 2: Environmental Stress Emergence

Gradual climatic changes begin affecting resource availability, agricultural productivity, and ecological stability.

Stage 3: Resource Pressure Intensification

Environmental degradation increases competition for water, food, and land resources.

Stage 4: Institutional Strain

Political institutions face growing challenges in managing resource scarcity and maintaining social order.

Stage 5: Transformation or Collapse

Societies either adapt successfully through innovation and reform or experience varying degrees of decline, fragmentation, or collapse.

This framework highlights the cumulative nature of environmental collapse and emphasizes adaptation as a decisive factor influencing outcomes.

5. Resilience Assessment Model

The study evaluates resilience through four indicators:

Resource Diversity

Civilizations dependent upon a single environmental resource are generally more vulnerable than societies possessing diversified economic and ecological systems.

Technological Adaptation

Technological innovations enhance resilience by improving resource efficiency and environmental management. Irrigation systems, water storage technologies, agricultural innovations, and transportation networks can reduce vulnerability.

Institutional Flexibility

Flexible institutions adapt more effectively to changing environmental conditions. Adaptive governance enables societies to modify policies, redistribute resources, and coordinate responses.

Social Cohesion

Social cooperation facilitates collective action during environmental crises. Fragmented societies often struggle to implement coordinated adaptation strategies.

6. Application to Contemporary Climate Challenges

The methodological framework extends beyond historical analysis. Modern societies face many challenges analogous to those experienced by ancient civilizations, including rising temperatures, water scarcity, food-security risks, biodiversity loss, and infrastructure vulnerability (Islam et al., 2019; Williams et al., 2012).

The framework therefore provides a basis for evaluating contemporary resilience. By identifying historical patterns of vulnerability and adaptation, policymakers can develop more effective climate-governance strategies.

RESULTS AND FINDINGS

The analysis reveals several recurring patterns linking climate change and environmental collapse across historical civilizations. First, climatic variability consistently acted as a stress multiplier rather than an isolated cause of societal decline. Environmental changes rarely produced collapse independently; instead, they intensified existing social, economic, and political vulnerabilities.

A second major finding concerns water-resource dependency. Historical societies exhibiting strong reliance on stable hydrological systems experienced significant vulnerability when climatic conditions altered precipitation patterns or increased drought frequency. Water scarcity affected agricultural production, reduced economic output, and weakened institutional effectiveness. Contemporary climate research similarly identifies water-resource management as a critical challenge under changing climatic conditions (Chowdhury & Al-Zahrani, 2013; Tarawneh & Chowdhury, 2018).

Third, agricultural sustainability emerged as a central determinant of societal resilience. Environmental changes influencing crop productivity frequently generated cascading consequences throughout economic and political systems. Food shortages contributed to migration, social unrest, and declining governmental legitimacy. Modern climate studies demonstrate comparable risks associated with agricultural vulnerability and food-security challenges (Allbed et al., 2017; Haque & Khan, 2020).

A fourth finding concerns the importance of adaptive governance. Historical societies possessing flexible institutions, effective resource-management systems, and technological innovation capabilities demonstrated greater resilience than societies characterized by rigid governance structures. Adaptation capacity often determined whether environmental stress resulted in transformation or collapse.

The analysis also highlights ecosystem resilience as a significant factor influencing societal sustainability. Environmental degradation reduced ecological services supporting agriculture, water regulation, and biodiversity. Studies examining climate impacts on ecosystems indicate that environmental resilience remains essential for long-term sustainability (Williams et al., 2012).

Another important finding involves the cumulative nature of collapse processes. Environmental decline generally occurred gradually over extended periods rather than through sudden catastrophic events. Climatic pressures accumulated incrementally, weakening societal resilience until critical thresholds were reached.

Finally, the research identifies strong parallels between historical environmental collapses and contemporary climate challenges. Rising temperatures, increasing water scarcity, agricultural pressures, ecosystem degradation, and resource-management difficulties observed today resemble vulnerabilities that affected historical civilizations. Although modern societies possess advanced technologies, they remain dependent upon ecological systems and therefore face comparable systemic risks.

Overall, the findings indicate that environmental collapse results from interactions among climate stress, resource dependency, institutional capacity, and societal adaptation. The lessons derived from historical experiences provide valuable insights for contemporary climate-governance and sustainability strategies.

DISCUSSION

The findings reinforce the argument that climate change functions primarily as a catalyst that amplifies underlying vulnerabilities rather than acting as a singular determinant of societal collapse. This interpretation aligns with contemporary climate scholarship emphasizing the

interconnected nature of environmental, social, and economic systems (Mikhaylov et al., 2020; Zhongming et al., 2021).

One of the most significant implications concerns water-resource management. Historical evidence demonstrates that societies dependent on predictable water supplies became vulnerable when climatic conditions altered hydrological patterns. Modern climate studies report similar concerns regarding water scarcity, evapotranspiration changes, and resource sustainability (Elnesr & Alazba, 2013; Chowdhury & Al-Zahrani, 2013). The persistence of this challenge across historical and contemporary contexts highlights the strategic importance of integrated water governance.

Agricultural sustainability represents another critical area of discussion. Historical collapses frequently involved disruptions to food-production systems, while contemporary research identifies agriculture as one of the sectors most vulnerable to climate change (Allbed et al., 2017; Haque & Khan, 2020). These parallels suggest that food security should be considered a central component of climate adaptation planning rather than a secondary environmental issue.

The findings also challenge simplistic narratives of environmental determinism. Not all societies exposed to climate stress experienced collapse. Some adapted successfully through technological innovation, institutional reform, and resource diversification. This observation underscores the importance of governance quality and adaptive capacity. Environmental pressures create risks, but societal responses ultimately influence outcomes.

Theoretical implications emerge from the resilience framework developed in this study. Environmental sustainability should be understood as a multidimensional phenomenon involving ecological systems, political institutions, economic structures, and social cooperation. Resilience depends not only on environmental conditions but also on the capacity of societies to learn, adapt, and innovate.

Practical implications are equally significant. Contemporary climate adaptation strategies often prioritize technological solutions. While technology remains important, historical evidence suggests that institutional flexibility and effective governance are equally critical. Infrastructure investments alone may prove insufficient if governance systems cannot manage resources efficiently or respond to emerging environmental challenges.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The study employs a review-based methodology and therefore relies on theoretical synthesis rather than original empirical data. Historical environmental collapses occurred in diverse contexts, making direct comparisons inherently challenging. Furthermore, climate change interacts with numerous social and political variables, complicating causal interpretation.

Despite these limitations, the study contributes to climate-change scholarship by integrating historical and contemporary perspectives. The discussion demonstrates that lessons from ancient environmental collapses remain highly relevant for understanding modern climate risks and developing sustainable adaptation strategies.

CONCLUSION

This study examined the relationship between climate change and historical civilizations with a focus on environmental collapse and societal resilience. By synthesizing climate science literature with historical interpretations of environmental stress, the research demonstrated that climate change has long functioned as a structural driver influencing human development, institutional stability, and societal transformation. However, the analysis consistently shows that climate variability does not act as a singular or deterministic cause of collapse. Instead, it operates as a multiplier of existing vulnerabilities within political, economic, agricultural, and ecological systems.

One of the central conclusions is that water-resource dependency represents a critical axis of vulnerability. Historical societies that relied heavily on stable hydrological cycles experienced severe disruption when climate variability altered precipitation and water availability. Contemporary climate research reinforces this finding, highlighting increasing water stress as a defining challenge of the twenty-first century (Chowdhury & Al-Zahrani, 2013; Tarawneh & Chowdhury, 2018). This continuity between historical and modern systems suggests that water governance remains a foundational requirement for societal resilience.

A second major conclusion is the central role of agricultural systems in mediating climate impacts. Historical evidence consistently shows that disruptions in agricultural productivity triggered cascading effects across economic, social, and political structures. Modern research similarly confirms that climate change poses significant risks to food security and agricultural sustainability (Allbed et al., 2017; Haque & Khan, 2020). This reinforces the importance of strengthening agricultural resilience through diversification, technological innovation, and adaptive resource management.

A third conclusion concerns institutional adaptability. Societies that successfully navigated environmental stress exhibited flexible governance structures, technological innovation, and social cohesion. In contrast, rigid institutions with limited adaptive capacity were more

likely to experience systemic failure under sustained environmental pressure. This finding highlights governance as a decisive factor in determining societal outcomes under climate stress.

The study also concludes that ecosystem degradation played a significant role in amplifying environmental vulnerability. Biodiversity loss, soil degradation, and ecological instability reduced the capacity of natural systems to support human populations. Contemporary ecological studies confirm similar risks associated with environmental degradation and biodiversity decline (Williams et al., 2012). These findings emphasize the importance of maintaining ecological integrity as a foundation for long-term sustainability.

Importantly, the research demonstrates strong parallels between historical environmental collapses and contemporary climate challenges. Rising temperatures, increasing water scarcity, and agricultural stress in modern contexts mirror vulnerabilities observed in ancient civilizations. Although technological advancement has significantly enhanced adaptive capacity, modern societies remain fundamentally dependent on stable ecological systems.

RESEARCH CONTRIBUTION

This paper contributes to climate-change scholarship by integrating historical environmental analysis with contemporary climate science. It develops a multidimensional framework that explains societal collapse as a cumulative process driven by interactions between climatic stress, resource dependency, institutional rigidity, and ecological degradation. Unlike deterministic models, this framework emphasizes the role of governance and adaptation in shaping societal outcomes.

The study also contributes to sustainability discourse by highlighting that resilience is not solely a technological issue but a systemic one. Effective climate adaptation requires coordinated improvements in water management, agricultural systems, governance structures, and ecological conservation.

Future Research Directions

Future research should incorporate quantitative modeling approaches to complement historical and qualitative analysis. Paleoclimatic data, archaeological evidence, and climate simulations could provide more precise reconstructions of environmental stress in ancient civilizations. Additionally, comparative studies across different geographical regions may further clarify how cultural, institutional, and ecological differences influenced resilience outcomes.

Further investigation is also needed into the role of technological innovation in mitigating climate stress, particularly in ancient irrigation systems, trade networks, and agricultural techniques. Understanding how past societies innovated under environmental pressure may provide actionable insights for modern adaptation strategies.

REFERENCES

1. Allbed, A., L. Kumar, & F. Shabani, Climate change impacts on date palm cultivation in Saudi Arabia. The Journal of Agricultural Science, 2017. 155 (8): p. 1203–1218.
2. Al-Wabel, M.I., A. Sallam, M. Ahmad, K. Elanazi, & A.R. Usman, Extent of climate change in Saudi Arabia and its impacts on agriculture: a case study from Qassim region, in Environment, Climate, Plant and Vegetation Growth. 2020, Springer. p. 635–657.
3. Almazroui, M., Changes in Temperature Trends and Extremes over Saudi Arabia for the Period 1978–2019. Advances in Meteorology, 2020. 2020: p. 8828421.
4. Almazroui, M., H. Hasanean, A. Al-Khalaf, & H.A. Basset, Detecting climate change signals in Saudi Arabia using mean annual surface air temperatures. Theoretical and applied climatology, 2013. 113 (3): p. 585–598.
5. Andrew Karpinski and Ross B Steinman. The single category implicit association test as a measure of implicit social cognition. J Pers Soc Psychol, 91 (1): 16, 2006.
6. Arnaud Delorme and Scott Makeig. EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial eeg dynamics including independent component analysis. J Neurosci Methods, 134 (1): 9–21, 2004.
7. Bruce D Bartholow and Cheryl L Dickter. Social cognitive neuroscience of person perception. Social neuroscience: Integrating biological and psychological explanations of social behavior, 376, 2007.
8. Cheryl Dickter and Ivo Gyurovski. The effects of expectancy violations on early attention to race in an impression-formation paradigm. Soc Neurosci, 7 (3): 240–251, 2012.
9. Chowdhury, S. & M. Al-Zahrani, Implications of climate change on water resources in Saudi Arabia. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 2013. 38 (8): p. 1959–1971.
10. El Mostafa Darfaoui, A.A.A., Response to climate change in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. 2010. p. 17.
11. El-Nesr, M.N., M.M. Abu-Zreig, & A.A. Alazba, Temperature trends and distribution in the Arabian Peninsula. American Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2010. 6 (2): p. 191–203.
12. Elnesr, M. & A. Alazba, Effect of climate change on spatiotemporal variability and trends of evapotranspiration, and its impact on water resources management in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Climate

Change-Realities, Impacts over Ice Cap, Sea Level and Risks, edited by: Singh, BR, InTech, Rijeka, Croatia. 2013 : p. 273–296.

13. Eve F Fabre, Mickael Causse, Francesca Pesciarelli, and Cristina Cacciari. Sex and the money–how gender stereotypes modulate economic decision-making: an erp study. Neuropsychologia, 75 : 221–232, 2015.

14. Fariya Naz. Electrophysiological changes of n100 latency and amplitude in healthy participants performing the jitter orientated visual integration task: a multi-block design study. 2015.

15. Gabriel J Gabard-Durnam, Adriana S Mendez Leal, Carol L Wilkinson, and April R Levin. The Harvard Automated Processing Pipeline for Electroencephalography (HAPPE): standardized processing software for developmental and high-artifact data. Front Neurosci, 12 : 97, 2018.

16. Graham F Healy, Lorraine Boran, and Alan F Smeaton. Neural patterns of the implicit association test. Front Hum Neurosci., 9 : 605, 2015.

17. Haque, M.I. & M.R. Khan, Impact of climate change on food security in Saudi Arabia: a roadmap to agriculture-water sustainability. Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies, 2020.

18. Hereher, M.E., Recent trends of temperature and precipitation proxies in Saudi Arabia: implications for climate change. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 2016. 9 (11): p. 1–9.

19. Islam, M., M. Alharthi, & M. Alam, The impacts of climate change on road traffic accidents in Saudi Arabia. Climate, 2019. 7 (9): p. 103.

20. John Polich. Updating p300: an integrative theory of p3a and p3b. Clin Neurophysiol, 118 (10): 2128–2148, 2007.

21. Joseph Hilgard, Bruce D Bartholow, Cheryl L Dickter, and Hart Blanton. Characterizing switching and congruency effects in the implicit association test as reactive and proactive cognitive control. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci, 10 (3): 381–388, 2015.

22. Laurel J Gabard-Durnam, Adriana S Mendez Leal, Carol L Wilkinson, and April R Levin. The Harvard Automated Processing Pipeline for Electroencephalography (HAPPE): standardized processing software for developmental and high-artifact data. Front Neurosci, 12 : 97, 2018.

23. Maria Fiorenza, Mirko Duradoni, Giacomo Barbagallo, and Andrea Guazzini. Implicit association test (iat) toward climate change: a prisma systematic review. CRESP, page 100103, 2023.

24. Mikhaylov, A., N. Moiseev, K. Aleshin, & T. Burkhardt, Global climate change and greenhouse effect. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 2020. 7 (4): p. 2897.

25. Piettro Tarchi, Maria Chiara Lanini, Lorenzo Frassineti, and Antonio Lanata. Real and deepfake face recognition: An eeg study on cognitive and emotive implications. Brain Sciences, 13 (9): 1233, 2023.

26. Rita Jeronimo, Hannah I Volpert, and Bruce D Bartholow. Event-related potentials reveal early attention bias for negative, unexpected behavior. Soc Neurosci, 12 (2): 232–236, 2017.

27. Tarawneh, Q.Y. & S. Chowdhury, Trends of climate change in Saudi Arabia: Implications on water resources. Climate, 2018. 6 (1): p. 8.

28. Williams, J.B., M. Shobrak, T.M. Wilms, I.A. Arif, & H.A. Khan, Climate change and animals in Saudi Arabia. Saudi journal of biological sciences, 2012. 19 (2): p. 121–130.

29. Yuko Heath and Robert Gifford. Free-market ideology and environmental degradation: The case of belief in global climate change. Environ Behav, 38 (1): 48–71, 2006.

30. Zhao Yao and Zhenhong Wang. Concreteness of positive word contributions to affective priming: An erp study. Int J Psychophysiol, 93 (3): 275–282, 2014.

31. Zatari, T. Second National Communication: Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. in A Report Prepared, Coordinated by the Presidency of Meteorology and Environment (PME), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and submitted to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change [UNFCCC]. 2011.

32. Zhongming, Z., L. Linong, Z. Wangqiang, & L. Wei, AR6 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press. In Press 2021.