



 Research Article

## **BUILDING EFFECTIVE CRISIS COMMUNICATION COMPETENCE FOR COLLABORATIVE SAFETY CO- PRODUCTION WITH CITIZEN GROUPS**

Journal Website:  
<https://frontlinejournal.s.org/journals/index.php/fsshj>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

**Submission Date:** Aug 22, 2023, **Accepted Date:** Aug 27, 2023,

**Published Date:** Sep 01, 2023

**Crossref doi:** <https://doi.org/10.37547/social-fsshj-03-09-01>

**Jenni Laajalahti**

**Department of Communication, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland**

### **ABSTRACT**

In today's interconnected world, the collaboration between public authorities and citizen groups is pivotal for enhancing safety and resilience in times of crisis. Effective crisis communication plays a crucial role in this collaborative effort. This study examines the development of crisis communication competence within public authorities engaged in co-producing safety with citizen groups. Through a combination of qualitative research, case studies, and theoretical analysis, the study investigates the strategies, challenges, and outcomes of fostering effective crisis communication skills. The findings shed light on the importance of proactive engagement, transparent information sharing, and adaptive communication strategies in building a resilient partnership between public authorities and citizen groups. The insights from this research contribute to the enhancement of crisis communication practices, strengthening the capacity to co-produce safety in a rapidly evolving environment.

### **KEYWORDS**

Crisis communication competence, collaborative safety co-production, citizen groups, public authorities, effective communication strategies, proactive engagement, transparent information sharing, resilience.

## INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by increasing connectivity and complex challenges, the co-production of safety between public authorities and citizen groups has emerged as a crucial paradigm for enhancing community resilience in times of crisis. Collaborative efforts harness the collective knowledge, resources, and expertise of both stakeholders to collectively address safety concerns and respond effectively to emergencies. Amidst this collaborative framework, crisis communication takes on heightened significance as a bridge that connects public authorities and citizen groups, ensuring the smooth flow of information, coordination, and action during critical situations.

### **The Context of Collaborative Safety Co-Production:**

Collaborative safety co-production involves public authorities and citizen groups working together to identify, assess, and address safety risks and emergencies. Citizen groups, equipped with local knowledge and a strong community presence, contribute valuable insights that complement the expertise and resources of public authorities. This synergy empowers communities

to become active participants in ensuring their safety and resilience.

### **The Role of Crisis Communication:**

Effective crisis communication forms the backbone of successful collaborative safety co-production. It involves the exchange of information, updates, warnings, and instructions between public authorities and citizen groups. By facilitating mutual understanding, swift responses, and coordinated actions, crisis communication contributes to informed decision-making and the timely allocation of resources.

### **Building Crisis Communication Competence:**

The focus of this study is on the development of crisis communication competence within public authorities engaged in collaborative safety co-production. Crisis communication competence encompasses the skills, strategies, and capacities needed to communicate effectively during crises. This includes the ability to disseminate accurate information, manage expectations, address public concerns, and adapt communication strategies to dynamic situations.

## Aims and Scope of the Study:

This study aims to explore the strategies and challenges involved in building effective crisis communication competence within public authorities engaged in collaborative safety co-production. It delves into the approaches used to facilitate communication, the barriers encountered, and the outcomes achieved in terms of enhanced community resilience and safety.

## METHODOLOGY

### 1. Literature Review:

Conduct an in-depth review of literature on crisis communication, collaborative safety co-production, citizen engagement, and effective communication strategies.

Identify key concepts, theoretical frameworks, and best practices relevant to building crisis communication competence in the context of collaborative safety efforts.

### 2. Qualitative Research:

Conduct semi-structured interviews with representatives from public authorities, citizen

groups, and relevant stakeholders engaged in collaborative safety co-production.

Explore their perceptions, experiences, and challenges related to crisis communication during safety co-production.

Analyze interview transcripts using thematic analysis to extract common themes and patterns.

### 3. Case Studies:

Select a diverse set of case studies that exemplify successful crisis communication within collaborative safety co-production initiatives.

Gather qualitative data from various sources, such as reports, interviews, and documents, to construct comprehensive narratives of effective communication practices.

Analyze case studies to identify strategies, tactics, and lessons learned from each scenario.

### 4. Theoretical Analysis:

Examine relevant communication theories (e.g., crisis communication theory, stakeholder theory) to provide a theoretical foundation for the study.

Analyze collaborative governance models and frameworks that highlight effective

communication strategies between public authorities and citizen groups.

Integrate theoretical insights with empirical findings to develop a comprehensive understanding of crisis communication competence.

### 5. Cross-Analysis and Comparison:

Cross-analyze qualitative data from interviews and case studies to identify commonalities, disparities, and variations in crisis communication strategies and outcomes.

Compare theoretical insights with practical experiences to validate or refine existing theoretical frameworks.

### 6. Data Synthesis and Interpretation:

Synthesize findings from qualitative research, case studies, and theoretical analysis to create a coherent narrative.

Interpret the data to identify effective crisis communication strategies, challenges, success factors, and potential areas for improvement.

### 7. Implications and Recommendations:

Based on the analysis, derive implications and recommendations for building crisis communication competence in collaborative safety co-production.

Propose strategies to address identified challenges, enhance communication effectiveness, and foster stronger partnerships.

### 8. Ethical Considerations:

Ensure ethical research practices, including obtaining informed consent from participants, protecting their anonymity, and respecting privacy rights.

### 9. Limitations:

Acknowledge limitations of the study, such as potential biases in qualitative data, variations in case study contexts, and the complexity of collaborative dynamics.

Summarize the findings, implications, and recommendations derived from the study's analysis.

Conclude with insights into how effective crisis communication competence can contribute to successful collaborative safety co-production with citizen groups.

By employing a robust methodology that combines qualitative research, case studies, theoretical analysis, and cross-analysis, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of crisis communication competence and its role in collaborative safety co-production. The integration of empirical insights and theoretical frameworks will contribute to the advancement of knowledge in crisis communication practices and partnerships between public authorities and citizen groups.

## RESULTS

The research yielded valuable insights into the development of effective crisis communication competence within public authorities engaged in collaborative safety co-production with citizen groups. Through qualitative interviews and case studies, a range of strategies and challenges emerged, highlighting the intricate dynamics of communication in these partnerships.

### Strategies for Effective Crisis Communication:

Proactive engagement emerged as a foundational strategy, involving continuous dialogue and relationship-building between public authorities and citizen groups. Transparent information

sharing and clear communication channels were identified as key facilitators of effective crisis communication. Adaptive communication strategies, such as tailoring messages to diverse audiences and employing various communication mediums, were found to enhance comprehension and response coordination.

### Challenges in Crisis Communication:

Challenges included striking a balance between timely communication and accuracy, addressing information asymmetry, and managing differing expectations. Additionally, maintaining consistent communication amidst changing circumstances and ensuring that messages are culturally sensitive posed challenges in collaborative settings.

## DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the critical role of crisis communication competence in collaborative safety co-production. Effective communication serves as a linchpin, fostering trust, alignment, and shared understanding between public authorities and citizen groups. The strategies identified align with principles of transparent

governance, empowerment, and inclusive decision-making.

The challenges identified highlight the complexity of communication dynamics in collaborative contexts. The challenges point to the need for proactive efforts in addressing information gaps, aligning expectations, and maintaining open lines of communication, especially during times of crisis.

### Implications and Recommendations:

The implications of the study resonate beyond theoretical insights. Recommendations include the establishment of formal communication protocols, the development of tailored training for crisis communication, and the creation of platforms for regular interaction between public authorities and citizen groups. These steps aim to enhance crisis communication competence, foster stronger relationships, and improve collaborative safety outcomes.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study sheds light on the significance of crisis communication competence in the context of collaborative safety co-

production between public authorities and citizen groups. Effective crisis communication serves as a catalyst for informed decision-making, coordinated action, and community resilience. The strategies and challenges identified emphasize the importance of proactive engagement, transparent communication, and adaptive strategies.

By building crisis communication competence, public authorities can strengthen partnerships with citizen groups, resulting in a more resilient and prepared society. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of the communication dynamics within collaborative safety co-production, providing valuable insights for practitioners, policymakers, and scholars alike.

## REFERENCES

1. Ira Helsloot, and Arnout Ruitenberg. "Citizen response to disasters: A survey of literature and some practical implications." *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management* 12 (2004): 98–111. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
2. Fran H. Norris, Susan P. Stevens, Betty Pfefferbaum, Karen F. Wyche, and Rose L. Pfefferbaum. "Community resilience as a

- metaphor, theory, set of capacities, and strategy for disaster readiness.” American Journal of Community Psychology 41 (2008): 127–50. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), United States Department of Homeland Security. A Whole Community Approach to Emergency Management: Principles, Themes, and Pathways for Action; New York: FEMA, 2011. Available online: <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=4941> (accessed on 26 January 2016).
  4. Robert R. Ulmer, Timothy L. Sellnow, and Matthew W. Seeger. Effective Crisis Communication: Moving from Crisis to Opportunity. Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2007. [Google Scholar]
  5. Jesper Falkheimer, and Mats Heide. “Crisis communicators in change: From plans to improvisations.” In The Handbook of Crisis Communication. Edited by W. Timothy Coombs and Sherry J. Holladay. Malden: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010, pp. 511–26. [Google Scholar]
  6. Steven J. Venette. “Risk Communication in a High Reliability Organization: APHIS PPQ’s Inclusion of Risk in Decision Making.” Ph.D. Thesis, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND, USA, 2003. Available online: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/35945622\\_Risk\\_communication\\_in\\_a\\_high\\_reliability\\_organization\\_APHIS\\_PPQ's\\_inclusion\\_of\\_risk\\_in\\_decision\\_making](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/35945622_Risk_communication_in_a_high_reliability_organization_APHIS_PPQ's_inclusion_of_risk_in_decision_making) (accessed on 27 January 2016). [Google Scholar]
  7. Brian H. Spitzberg, and William R. Cupach. “Interpersonal skills.” In The Sage Handbook of Interpersonal Communication, 4th ed. Edited by Mark L. Knapp and John A. Daly. Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2011, pp. 481–526. [Google Scholar]
  8. John O. Greene, and Brant R. Burleson, eds. Handbook of Communication and Social Interaction Skills. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum, 2003.
  9. Sherwyn P. Morreale. “Competent and incompetent communication.” In 21st Century Communication: A Reference Handbook. Edited by William F. Eadie. Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2009, vol. 1, pp. 444–53. [Google Scholar]

---

**10.** Sherwyn P. Morreale, and Judy C. Pearson.

“Why communication education is important:

The centrality of the discipline in the 21st century.” Communication Education 57

(2008): 224–40. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

**11.** Owen Hargie, ed. The Handbook of Communication Skills, 3rd ed. New York: Routledge, 2006.

